

United States Patent and Trademark Office

MN TER

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/522,466	01/26/2005	Alan J. Terry	GB 020121	8056
24737 7590 05/16/2007 PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			EXAMINER	
			DU, THUAN N	
BRIARCLIFF	MANOK, NY 10510		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2116	
				•
•			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

_		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/522,466	TERRY, ALAN J.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Thuan N. Du	2116			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION B6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirgonial apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Ja	nuary 2005.				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims	·				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1,3 and 6-22</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>2,4 and 5</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Applicati	on Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachmen 1) Notice	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	/ (PTO-413)			
2) Notice	r No(s)/Mail Date 10/7/05.	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/522,466

Art Unit: 2116

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-22 are presented for examination.

Specification

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) THE NAMES OF THE PARTIES TO A JOINT RESEARCH AGREEMENT.
- (e) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC.
- (f) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (g) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (h) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (i) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (j) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (I) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

Art Unit: 2116

Claim Objections

2. Claims 6-22 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from any other multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 6-22 have not been further treated on the merits.

To expedite a complete examination of the instant application, the claims objected above are further rejected as set forth below in anticipation of applicant amending these claims to place them within the proper dependency. Examiner treats claims 6-9, 11 and 12 depend on claim 1, claims 18-20 depend on claim 15, claim 21 depends on claim 18, and claim 22 depends on claim 12.

3. Claims 6, 8 and 9 are objected because the following informality: it is not clear that "a mode" recited in the claims is the same or different from "a first mode" recited in claim 1.

Claim 7 is objected because the following informality: it is not clear that "an event" recited in the claim is the same or different from "a first event" recited in claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 12-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 2116

Claims 12-17 use the phrase "operable to" which constitutes a use limitation and thus renders the claims indefinite as to what structure is embraced by the metes and bounds of the claim language. See MPEP § 2111.04.

Claims 18-22 are also rejected for incorporating the above deficiency by dependency.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

6. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

7. Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Regarding claim 22, since a computer readable storage medium encoded with the software has not been claimed, the software as claimed is computer listing per se (see MPEP 2106). Therefore, the claimed software does not define any structural and functional interrelationships between the software and other claimed elements of a computer which permits the software's functionality to be realized.

To expedite a complete examination of the instant application, the claims rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 (non-statutory) above are further rejected as set forth below in anticipation of applicant amending these claims to place them within the four statutory categories on invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2116

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 9. Claims 1, 3 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Andersson et al. [Andersson], U.S. Patent No. 5,144,948.
- 10. Regarding claim 1, Andersson teaches a method comprising the steps of:

 commencing a first mode (operation mode when the charge is completed) of the timing
 unit (pulse generator 10) [col. 2, lines 1-3];

detecting a first event (delivering pulse), which first event occurs after the commencement of the first mode, the first event being caused by the timing unit (pulse is delivered by the pulse generator 10) [col. 2, lines 1-4];

calculating a first time interval between the commencement of the first mode and the first event [col. 2, lines 16-23, 44-52]; and

performing a first comparison between the calculated first time interval and a first reference time interval [col. 2, lines 23-24, 53-54];

Andersson does not explicitly teach that configuration data is determined in dependence on the result of the first comparison. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to apply the teachings of Andersson for obtaining configuration data because it would increase the reliability of the system by precisely retrieving the configuration data.

11. Regarding claims 3 and 10, Andersson teaches counting time periods [col. 2, lines 16-17].

Art Unit: 2116

12. Regarding claim 6, Andersson teaches that the mode is commenced in response to a voltage applied to the system [col. 2, lines 1-2].

- Regarding claims 7-9, these claims are directed to method steps for obtaining configuration data of claim 1. As stated above, Andersson teaches the invention substantially as set forth in claim 1. At the time of the invention, one of ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized that Andersson may obviously also teach the method steps of claim 1 as set forth in claims 7-9. As such, claims 7-9 are rejected under the same rationale with respect to claim 1.
- 14. Regarding claim 11, one of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that Andersson would obviously use timestamp in order to measure the time interval.
- 15. Regarding claims 12-22, Andersson teaches the claimed method steps. Therefore, Andersson would obviously teach the apparatus to implement the claimed method steps.

Allowable Subject Matter

16. Claims 2, 4 and 5 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thuan N. Du whose telephone number is (571) 272-3673. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday: 7:30 AM - 4:00 PM, EST.

Application/Control Number: 10/522,466

Art Unit: 2116

Page 7

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rehana Perveen can be reached at (571) 272-3676.

Central TC telephone number is (571) 272-2100.

The fax number for the organization is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll free).

TD

May 10, 2007

THUAN N. DU PRIMARY EXAMINES